



# Phenomenology of Particle Physics II

## Exercise Sheet 8

**ETH**Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Spring semester 2012

Issued : 17.04.2012

Lecturers: Dr. M. Dittmar, Dr. M. Grazzini

Due : 24.04.2012

[www.itp.phys.ethz.ch/education/lectures\\_fs12/PPPII](http://www.itp.phys.ethz.ch/education/lectures_fs12/PPPII)

---

Please read the article from CERN Courier dedicated to the discovery of the NC

<http://cerncourier.com/cws/article/cern/29168>

and the first paper recommended there:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370269373904942>

**Exercise 13** [*Discovery of the NC (neutral current)  $\bar{\nu}_\mu + e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu + e^-$  :* ]

- (i) Why did one look for NC events in neutrino and antineutrino beams and not with other particle beams?

*Hint: consider the level of background*

- (ii) How does one produce neutrino and antineutrino beams?

- (iii) Why is it easier to search for NC events with a beam of muon neutrinos compared to electron neutrinos?

*Hint: Compare  $Br(\pi(K) \rightarrow \mu + \nu_\mu)$  with  $Br(\pi(K) \rightarrow e + \nu_e)$*

- (iv) What is the advantage of antineutrino over neutrinos when looking for NC current events in purely leptonic final states?

- (v) What are background sources mentioned in the paper? How could one suppress and control them?

**Exercise 14** [*Question 2:  $Z, W$  decays*]

- (i) Describe how you would separate the production of  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons in a  $p\bar{p}$  collider experiment from backgrounds

- (ii) G. Wolf, the spokesperson of the DESY TASSO experiment in 1983, said spontaneously when the discovery of the Z was announced: “Now we know that we do not have very large number of lepton families with light neutrinos.” Please explain his reasoning when not only a handful of Z events were observed by UA1 and UA2. Can you find any explanation why the experimental result for the number of neutrinos is measured to be slightly below 3 ( $= 2.984 \pm 0.008$ ).

**Exercise 15** [*The precision of the leptonic W branching ratio measurements at LEP II*]

- (i) Estimate the expected leptonic branching ratio of the W into a charged lepton and the corresponding neutrino. The measured BR's from the four LEP experiments are:  $10.59 \pm 0.17$ ,  $10.55 \pm 0.16$  and  $11.20 \pm 0.22$  for decays to electron, muon and tau leptons (plus the neutrino) respectively. What is your explanation that nobody seems to be worried about the “apparent” lepton universality violation in W boson decays?

*Hint: The average leptonic branching ration seems to be in perfect agreement with the theoretical expectation of about 10.8%.*

- (ii) Why (it hopefull is(!)) is the theoretical result a little smaller than your above estimate?

## Informations relative to the exercises

**Testat condition :** 60% of the exercise sheets worked out and solve one exercise at the blackboard.

Exercises may be solved in groups of up to 3 people.

**Teaching assistants:**

Julián Cancino, HIT K21.4, [cancinoj@itp.phys.ethz.ch](mailto:cancinoj@itp.phys.ethz.ch)

Lorenzo Tancredi, I36 K36, [tancredi@physik.uzh.ch](mailto:tancredi@physik.uzh.ch)

Andrey Starodumov, HPK E27, [starodumov@phys.ethz.ch](mailto:starodumov@phys.ethz.ch)