

**Exercise 1. Magnetic domain wall.**

We want to calculate the energy of a magnetic domain wall in the framework of the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory. Assuming translational symmetry in the  $(y, z)$ -plane, the GL functional in zero field reads

$$F[m, m'] = F_0 + \int dx \left\{ \frac{A}{2} m(x)^2 + \frac{B}{4} m(x)^4 + \frac{\kappa}{2} [m'(x)]^2 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

(a) Solve the GL equation with boundary conditions

$$m(x \rightarrow \pm\infty) = \pm m_0, \quad m'(x \rightarrow \pm\infty) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $m_0$  is the magnetization of the uniform solution.

**Solution.** The Euler-Lagrange equation of the GL functional is

$$0 = \frac{\delta F}{\delta m} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} - \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m'} = Am + Bm^3 - \kappa m''. \quad (S.1)$$

Assuming  $A < 0$  and  $B > 0$  the uniform solution is

$$m_0 := \sqrt{-\frac{A}{B}}. \quad (S.2)$$

By introducing rescaled variables  $s = x/\xi$  and  $u(s) = m(s\xi)/m_0$ , where

$$\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{\kappa}{A}}$$

is the correlation length, we arrive at the equation

$$u(s) - u(s)^3 + u''(s) = 0. \quad (S.3)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $u'$  and integrating from  $-\infty$  to  $s$  we obtain

$$u'(s)^2 = \frac{1}{2} [1 - u(s)^2]^2$$

where we have used  $u(-\infty) = -1$  and  $u'(-\infty) = 0$ . The correct solution for  $u'$  is the positive root,

$$u'(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 - u(s)^2]$$

which can be integrated to give

$$u(s) = \tanh \left[ \frac{s - s_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \implies m(x) = m_0 \tanh \left[ \frac{x - x_0}{\sqrt{2}\xi} \right]. \quad (S.4)$$

Without loss of generality we set  $x_0 = s_0\xi = 0$  in the following.

(b) First, find the energy of the uniformly polarized solution (no domain walls). Next, compute the energy of the solution with a domain wall compared to the uniform solution. Use the coefficients  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\kappa$  according to the expansion of the mean-field free energy of the Ising model (see Eqs. (6.80) and (6.85)). Finally, find the energy of a sharp step in the magnetization and compare it to the above results.

**Solution.** The free energy density of the uniformly polarized solution is  $f_u = f_0 + Am_0^2/4$ .

The energy of the domain wall as compared to the uniform solution is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta F &= \int dx \left\{ \frac{A}{2}m(x)^2 + \frac{B}{4}m(x)^4 + \frac{\kappa}{2}[m'(x)]^2 - \frac{A}{4}m_0^2 \right\} \\ &= \int dx \left\{ \frac{m(x)}{2} \left[ Am(x) + \frac{B}{2}m(x)^3 - \kappa m''(x) \right] - \frac{A}{4}m_0^2 \right\} \\ &= \int dx \left[ -\frac{B}{4}m(x)^4 - \frac{A}{4}m_0^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{Am_0^2}{4} \int dx \left[ 1 - \frac{m(x)^4}{m_0^4} \right].\end{aligned}$$

In the second line we have used integration by parts and in the third line we have used the GL equation. Changing to the integration variable  $t = x/(\sqrt{2}\xi)$  yields

$$\Delta F = -\frac{Am_0^2}{4}\sqrt{2}\xi \int dt [1 - (\tanh t)^4], \quad (\text{S.5})$$

and by using  $\tanh' x = 1 - \tanh^2 x$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta F &= -\frac{Am_0^2}{4}\sqrt{2}\xi \int dt [1 - \tanh^2 t(1 - \tanh' t)] \\ &= -\frac{Am_0^2}{4}\sqrt{2}\xi \int dt \left[ (\tanh t)' + \frac{1}{3}(\tanh^3 t)' \right] \\ &= -\frac{2Am_0^2}{3}\sqrt{2}\xi.\end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.6})$$

Using the expressions of Chapter 6 (see Eqs. (6.80) and (6.85)) for the coefficients  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\kappa$  (derived for an Ising model with coarse graining), we find that

$$\Delta F \sim Jm_0^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_c}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (T \rightarrow T_c). \quad (\text{S.7})$$

In contrast, a sharp step in the magnetization from  $-m_0$  to  $m_0$  costs an energy

$$E \sim Jm_0^2, \quad (\text{S.8})$$

(see Chap. 6.6), which for  $T \rightarrow T_c$  is less favorable.

<sup>1</sup>

Note that in the above energy discussions, the actual position of the domain wall (see entropy contribution in Chap. 6.6) was not taken into account.

---

<sup>1</sup>Notice that (S.4) describes a sharp step in the magnetization if  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ . One might think then that (S.8) contradicts the expression (S.6), as the latter goes to zero if  $\xi \rightarrow 0$  while the former does not. However, one should keep in mind that the continuum model considered here is derived from a discrete model by coarse-graining. In particular,  $\xi$  depends on the lattice spacing  $a$  and the reduced temperature  $\tau$  according to

$$\xi \propto \frac{a}{\sqrt{\tau}}.$$

The correlation length  $\xi$  is thus always greater than  $a$  and can not be zero. The continuum limit keeps the information about the discreteness of the original model. A sharp step in the discrete case corresponds to a step of width  $a$ . At zero temperature, when the system is freezed and  $\xi = a$ , both expressions (S.8) and (S.7) agree.

**Exercise 2. Temperature dependence of the superfluid fraction.**

In the lecture we calculated the number of condensed (superfluid) particles at zero temperature [Eq. (7.31)]. In this exercise we want to determine the temperature dependence of this fraction in the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$ .

- (a) Calculate the expectation value of the density of particles with momentum  $\mathbf{k}$ ,

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{1}{\Omega} \left\langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

- (b) Approximate the temperature dependence of this density,

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{k}}(T) := n_{\mathbf{k}}(T) - n_{\mathbf{k}}(T = 0), \quad (4)$$

in the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$ .

- (c) Calculate the temperature dependence of the density of condensed particles,

$$\delta n_0 = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta n_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (5)$$

in the same limit. What happens in a two-dimensional system?

*Hint. Keep only the terms of lowest order in  $T$ .*

- (d) Calculate the expectation value  $\left\langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right\rangle$ . What is the physical interpretation of this quantity?

**Solution.**

- (a) The Bose-Einstein distribution for the Bogolyubov quasiparticles reads

$$\left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1}. \quad (\text{S.9})$$

This allows us to easily calculate the particle number

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega n_{\mathbf{k}} &= \left\langle \left( u_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - v_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} \right) \left( u_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} - v_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right) \right\rangle \\ &= u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right\rangle - u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right\rangle \right) \\ &= u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \left\langle \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} + 1 \right\rangle \\ &= (u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2) \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \\ &= \frac{1 + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} + \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.10})$$

- (b) At  $T = 0$  the first term vanishes as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  and  $E_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$ , while the second term is independent from temperature. Therefore the density difference is given by

$$\Omega \delta n_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1 + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1}. \quad (\text{S.11})$$

In the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$  we find  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  such that the exponential  $e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}}$  is strongly peaked around  $\mathbf{k} = 0$ . Therefore we can approximate  $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$  for  $k \rightarrow 0$ . There we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\mathbf{k}} &= 1 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2mUn_0} - \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2mUn_0}\right)^2 - 1} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2mUn_0} - \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{mUn_0} + \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2mUn_0}\right)^2} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{\hbar k}{\sqrt{mUn_0}}.\end{aligned}\tag{S.12}$$

This leads to an approximation of the temperature-independent part of  $\delta n_{\mathbf{k}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1 + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2} &\approx \frac{1 + 1 - \frac{2\hbar k}{\sqrt{mUn_0}} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{Un_0 m}}{1 - 1 + \frac{2\hbar k}{\sqrt{mUn_0}} - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{Un_0 m}} \\ &\approx \frac{2 - \frac{2\hbar k}{\sqrt{mUn_0}}}{\frac{2\hbar k}{\sqrt{mUn_0}}} = \frac{\sqrt{mUn_0}}{\hbar k} - 1\end{aligned}\tag{S.13}$$

For finite  $\mathbf{k}$ , where  $\beta E_{\mathbf{k}} \gtrsim 1$ , we can approximate the Bose-Einstein distribution by the Boltzmann distribution

$$\frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} \approx e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{S.14}$$

where we use the linear approximation for the energy,

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{Un_0}{m}} \hbar k =: \hbar k c_s.\tag{S.15}$$

Therefore, we obtain the approximation

$$\Omega \delta n_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \left(\frac{mc_s}{\hbar k} - 1\right) e^{-\beta \hbar k c_s}.\tag{S.16}$$

(c) In three dimensions, the density of condensed particles is given by

$$\delta n_0 = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta n_{\mathbf{k}} = - \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta n_{\mathbf{k}}.\tag{S.17}$$

Here, we insert the approximation (S.16) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega \delta n_0 &\approx - \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{mc_s}{\hbar k} - 1\right) e^{-\beta \hbar k c_s} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int dk \left(\frac{mc_s}{\hbar} k - k^2\right) e^{-\beta \hbar k c_s} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{mc_s}{\hbar(\beta \hbar c_s)^2} - \frac{2}{(\beta \hbar c_s)^3}\right) \\ &\stackrel{T \rightarrow 0}{\approx} - \frac{mk_B^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3 c_s} T^2.\end{aligned}\tag{S.18}$$

In two dimensions, a similar calculation would lead to a linear temperature-dependence,

$$\delta n_0 \propto T.\tag{S.19}$$

However, in this calculation we underestimated the contributions for very small  $k$ : For  $\beta E_{\mathbf{k}} \lesssim 1$  we can approximate the Bose-Einstein distribution by

$$\frac{1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} \approx \frac{1}{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} \approx \frac{1}{c_s \hbar k}.\tag{S.20}$$

Due to the factor of  $k$  in the three-dimensional case, this contribution can be neglected. However, in two dimensions, the integral for  $\delta n_0$  diverges,

$$\Omega \delta n_0 \approx \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m c_s}{\hbar k} \frac{1}{c_s \hbar k} = \frac{m}{2\pi \hbar^2} \int \frac{dk}{k} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{S.21})$$

such that there exists no superfluid condensate at finite temperature.

(d) We can perform a similar calculation as in Eq. (S.10):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \rangle &= \langle (u_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - v_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}) (u_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - v_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}) \rangle \\ &= -u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} (\langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle + \langle \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \rangle) + u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \rangle + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}} \rangle \\ &= -u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{2}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} + 1 \right) \\ &= -\frac{\chi_{\mathbf{k}}}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \frac{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} + 1}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1} \\ &= -\frac{\chi_{\mathbf{k}}}{1 - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2} [\tanh(\frac{1}{2}\beta E_{\mathbf{k}})]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.22})$$

This quantity can be physically understood as the rate at which particles are exchanged with the condensate.