

## Review of earlier courses lectures

### \* Effective action

- Physical vev's for field operators minimize it

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \langle \phi \rangle} = \phi.$$

- Symmetry transformation

$$\langle \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi \rangle + \epsilon \langle F[\phi] \rangle$$

keeps it invariant

$$\text{if } \varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \epsilon F[\varphi]$$

\* remember  $\langle F[\varphi] \rangle \neq F[\langle \varphi \rangle]$  in general

\* continuous <sup>linear</sup> symmetry transformation of the action, are also symmetry transformation of the effective action

### \* Broken symmetries (spontaneously)

- Symmetries of the effective action do not leave the vacuum state invariant

- Degeneracy:  $\langle \phi \rangle$  &  $L[\langle \phi \rangle]$  = correspond  
Symmetry transform

to degenerate vacuum states characterized by different vev's of the field operator

- Orthonormal ~~states~~ vacuum states are stable. Once ~~in~~ one of them, a tunneling through infinite space is required to move to another.

- \* Goldstone Theorem: SSB  $\Rightarrow$  massless particles.

## Proof à la Weinberg of Goldstone theorem

Consider an action which is invariant under a continuous transformation. From Noether's theorem we find a conserved current

$$\vec{J}^a(\vec{x}, t)$$

with

$$\partial_\mu \vec{J}^a = 0.$$

The quantity  $Q = \int d^3x \vec{J}^0(\vec{x}, t)$  is

conserved (independent of time). Under the symmetry transformation, quantum fields transform as

$$[\alpha, \phi] = i\delta\phi,$$

where  $\phi$  and  $\alpha$  are now operators.

For a continuous symmetry transformation

$$\epsilon \delta\phi_m = i\epsilon T_{nm} \phi_m$$

[The classical field transforms as  $q \rightarrow q + \epsilon \delta q$ .]

To study SSB of the global symmetry, we start with the average value of the commutator

$$\frac{\langle \alpha | [\vec{J}^a(g), \phi_n(x)] | \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle} = \langle [\vec{J}^a(g), \phi_n(x)] \rangle.$$

in the vacuum.

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [J^y(y), \varphi_n(x)] \rangle = \langle J^y(y) \varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(x) J^y(y) \rangle \\ &= \sum_N \langle \varphi_j^{(y)}(y) |_N \rangle \langle N | \phi_n(x) |_0 \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle 0 | \phi_n(x) |_N \rangle \langle N | J^y(y) |_0 \rangle. \quad (\text{Eq.2}) \end{aligned}$$

We now use that

$$\hat{O}(x) = e^{-i\hat{P} \cdot \vec{x}} \hat{O}(0) e^{i\hat{P} \cdot \vec{x}}.$$

[representation of translation symmetry]

and we have that, if  $\hat{P}/\alpha \rangle = P_\alpha |\alpha\rangle$   
 $\& \hat{P}/\beta \rangle = P_\beta |\beta\rangle$

then

$$\langle \alpha | \hat{O}(x) | \beta \rangle = C^{i(P_\alpha - P_\beta) \cdot \vec{x}} \langle \alpha | \hat{O}(0) | \beta \rangle \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [J] \rangle &= \sum_N \left\{ \langle 0 | J^y(0) |_N \rangle \langle N | \phi_n(0) |_0 \rangle e^{-iP_N(x-y)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle N | \bar{J}^y(0) |_0 \rangle \langle 0 | \phi_n(0) |_N \rangle e^{+iP_N(x-y)} \right\} \\ &= \sum_N \left[ A^y(N, J^y) e^{-iP_N(x-y)} + c.c. \right] \end{aligned}$$

We write,

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \int d^4 p \sum_N \delta(p - p_N) \left\{ \langle \Omega | j^\alpha(y) | N \rangle \langle N | \phi_n(0) | \Omega \rangle e^{-i p \cdot (x-y)} + c.c. \right\}$$

If we perform the sum over the states  $|N\rangle$  we will obtain a result which depends only on the momentum  $p^4$ . We will then have.

$$\sum_N \langle \Omega | j^\alpha(y) | N \rangle \langle N | \phi_n(0) | \Omega \rangle \delta(p - p_N) = \\ = p^4 f_n(p^2) \text{ - and thus :}$$

$$\langle \Omega | [j^\alpha(y), \phi_n(x)] | \Omega \rangle = \int d^4 p \left[ p^4 e^{i(x-y) \cdot p} f_n(p^2) \right. \\ \left. + p^4 e^{i(x-y) \cdot p} f_n^*(p^2) \right] = \\ = -i \partial_y^\alpha \int d^4 p \left[ f_n(p^2) e^{-i(x-y) \cdot p} - f_n^*(p^2) e^{+i(x-y) \cdot p} \right].$$

$$= -i \int d^4 p \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \left\{ f_n(p^2) \int_{(2n)^3} d^4 p \delta(p^2 - m^2) e^{-i(x-y) \cdot p} \theta(p_0) \right\} \\ + p_n^*(m^2) \left\{ \int_{(2n)^3} d^4 p \delta(p^2 - m^2) e^{+i(x-y) \cdot p} \theta(p_0) \right\} \}_{(2n)^3}$$

$$= -i(2n) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^\mu} \int dm^2 [ \rho_n(m^2) \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, x-y) \\ = \rho_n^*(m^2) \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, y-x). ]$$

\* Exercise: Prove that for a space-like interval  $(x-y) \Delta_{+}(m^2; x-y) = \Delta_{+}(m^2, y-x)$ .

But, then, the commutator  $\langle [\bar{j}^\mu(y), \phi_n(x)] \rangle$  should vanish. Leading to

$$\rho_n^*(\mu^2) = -\rho_n(\mu^2).$$

Result 1:

$$\langle 1 | [\bar{j}^\mu(y), \phi_n(x)] \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^\mu} - i(2n)^3 \int dm^2 \rho_n^*(m^2) \cdot$$

$$[\Delta_{(+)}(m^2, x-y) - \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, y-x)]$$

for generic  $x$  and  $y$ .

Taking a second derivative:

$$\langle 0 | [\partial_\mu \bar{j}^\mu(y), \phi_n(x)] | 0 \rangle = -i(2n)^3 \int dm^2 \rho_n^*(m^2) \cdot$$

$$\cdot [\partial^2 \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, x-y) - \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, y-x)] \geq$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \int dm^2 [m^2 \rho_n(m^2)] [\Delta_{(+)}(m^2, x-y) - \Delta_{(+)}(m^2, y-x)]$$

$$\leadsto \boxed{m^2 \rho(m^2) = 0} \quad (\text{Eq. A})$$

Let's consider  $y_0 = x_0 = t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} <1[\tilde{f}(t, \vec{y}), \phi_n(\vec{x})]> &= \\ &= \int dm^2 \rho(m^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} [\Delta_f(m^2, x-y) - \\ &\quad - \Delta_f(m^2, y-x)] \end{aligned}$$

and integrating over  $\vec{y}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sim <1[Q, \phi_n(t, \vec{x})]> &= \\ &= \int dm^2 \rho(m^2) \int d\vec{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\vec{y} \delta(p^2 + m^2) \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} [e^{+i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} - \\ &\quad - i e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int dm^2 \rho(m^2) \cancel{\int d\vec{y}} \delta(\vec{y} \rightarrow \vec{x}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\boxed{\int dm^2 \rho(m^2) = T_{nm} \langle \phi_n \rangle} \quad (\text{Eq. B})$$

For a spontaneously broken symmetry,  
 $\langle \phi_m \rangle = \langle \phi_n \rangle$  tie  $T_{nm} \langle \phi_m \rangle \neq \langle \phi_n \rangle$

$$\leadsto \boxed{T_{nm} \langle \phi_m \rangle \neq 0}$$

~ The "mass spectrum" of the field  $\langle \phi_m \rangle$  must have a singularity at  $m^2 = 0$ , Indeed, for

$$\rho_n(m^2) = \delta(m^2) \left( T_{nm} \langle \phi_m \rangle \right)$$

we have both

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 \rho_n(m^2) &= 0 \\ \& \int dm^2 \rho_n(m^2) = T_{nn} \langle \phi_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

satisfied ?

Let's recall the definition of the density  $\rho_n(m^2)$ :

$$\rho_n(p^2) = \sum_N \langle \text{o} | J^\mu(o) | N \rangle \langle N | \phi_n(o) | \text{o} \rangle \delta(p_N - p)$$

It involves a summation of all states.  
for  $\rho_n(p^2) \propto \delta(p^2)$  there must exist a state  $|N\rangle$  which has  $p_N^2 = 0$  ?

⇒ The spectrum of the theory has  
a massless particle state. ?

We can get more out of this derivation.

⇒ This massless state is an one-particle state ?

\* Multi-particle states yield a continuum, not a  $\delta$ -function.

$\Rightarrow$  This state is invariant under a rotation, i.e. it has zero-spin.

Indeed  $\phi_n(0)|0\rangle$  is invariant under a rotation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(0)|0\rangle &\rightarrow R(\theta)\phi_n(0)|0\rangle = \\ &= u(\theta)\phi_n(R(\theta) \cdot 0)|0\rangle = \\ &= u(\theta)\phi_n(0)|0\rangle = \phi_n(0)|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To preserve  $\langle N | \phi_N(0) | 0 \rangle$  invariant under rotation,  $\langle N |$  must have zero spin.

$\Rightarrow \langle N |$  must cancel the symmetry transformations of the conserved current  $J^a(0)|0\rangle \sim$

$|N\rangle$  has the same "quantum numbers" ~~under~~ as

$$J^a(0)|0\rangle \quad ?$$