

## General relativity, solution sheet 2.

---

HS 08

### 1. Jacobi identity

i)

$$\begin{aligned} & [[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] \\ &= [X, Y]Z - Z[X, Y] + [Y, Z]X - X[Y, Z] + [Z, X]Y - Y[Z, X] \\ &= XYZ - YXZ - ZXY + ZYX + YZX - ZYX \\ &\quad - XYZ + XZY + ZXY - XZY - YZX + YXZ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

ii) For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ,

$$0 = C^\mu_{\alpha\beta}[Y_\mu, Y_\gamma] + C^\mu_{\beta\gamma}[Y_\mu, Y_\alpha] + C^\mu_{\gamma\alpha}[Y_\mu, Y_\beta] = (C^\mu_{\alpha\beta}C^\nu_{\mu\gamma} + C^\mu_{\beta\gamma}C^\nu_{\mu\alpha} + C^\mu_{\gamma\alpha}C^\nu_{\mu\beta})Y_\nu.$$

Thus, the function  $C^\mu_{\alpha\beta}C^\nu_{\mu\gamma} + C^\mu_{\beta\gamma}C^\nu_{\mu\alpha} + C^\mu_{\gamma\alpha}C^\nu_{\mu\beta}$  must be identically zero by the linear independence of the basis vectors  $\{Y_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^n$  at each point  $p \in M$ .

### 2. Lie groups and Lie brackets

i) a) Let  $r(t)$  be a curve in  $O(n)$  such that  $r(0) = e$ , denote  $\dot{r}(0) \equiv s$ . Then

$$0 = \left. \frac{d}{dt} r(t)^T r(t) \right|_{t=0} = s^T + s,$$

so that  $\text{Lie}(O(n)) = \{s \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid s^T = -s\}$ .

b) Similarly for a curve  $l(t) \in \text{SO}(1, 3)$  with  $l(0) = e$  and  $\dot{l}(0) \equiv j$ ,

$$0 = \left. \frac{d}{dt} l(t)^T \eta l(t) \right|_{t=0} = j^T \eta + \eta j.$$

Thus,  $\text{Lie}(\text{SO}(1, 3)) = \{j \in \mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{R}) \mid \eta j \eta^{-1} = -j^T\}$ .

ii) Let  $m_i(t) \in G$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the two curves generated by  $x_i$ , i.e.  $x_i = \dot{m}_i(0)$ . The generator of the curve  $m_1(\alpha_1 t) m_2(\alpha_2 t)$  is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} (m_1(\alpha_1 t) m_2(\alpha_2 t)) \right|_{t=0} = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 \in \text{Lie}(G). \quad (3)$$

For any  $s$ ,  $m_1(t) m_2(s) m_1(t)^{-1} m_2(s)^{-1}$  is a curve through  $e$ . Hence

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} (m_1(t) m_2(s) m_1(t)^{-1} m_2(s)^{-1}) \right|_{t=0} = x_1 - m_2(s) x_1 m_2^{-1}(s) \in \text{Lie}(G).$$

By the linearity just proven, the derivative of a Lie algebra valued function is again an element of the algebra, i.e.

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} (x_1 - m_2(s) x_1 m_2^{-1}(s)) \right|_{s=0} = -x_2 x_1 + x_1 x_2 \in \text{Lie}(G). \quad (4)$$

Finally, (4) follows from (2,3) and the distributivity for  $n \times n$  matrices.

iii) It follows from  $\varphi_*[X, Y] = [\varphi_*X, \varphi_*Y]$ , see lecture notes p.7, that

$$(\lambda_g)_*[X, Y] = [X, Y]$$

for any two left-invariant vector fields  $X, Y$ .

iv) Applying  $(\lambda_g)_*$  to (1) gives

$$[Y_\alpha, Y_\beta] = (\lambda_g)_*(C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} Y_\gamma) = (C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \circ \lambda_g) Y_\gamma.$$

Comparison with (1) yields, by the independence of the  $Y_\gamma$ ,  $C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \circ \lambda_g = C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ , i.e.  $C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(gh) = C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(h)$ . Hence  $C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  is constant.

v) Left-invariance means  $X_{gh} = (\lambda_g)_* X_h$ . For  $h = e$  this shows that  $X_e \in T_e(G) = \text{Lie}(G)$  determines  $X_g$  at all  $g \in G$ .

vi) Let us first recall that the relation  $X \leftrightarrow x$  between the (abstract) left-invariant vector field  $X$  and its matrix form  $x$  is

$$(Xf)(e) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(m(t)) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}(G)),$$

where  $\dot{m}(0) = x$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2$  corresponds to (see (3))

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(m_1(\alpha_1 t) m_2(\alpha_2 t)) \right|_{t=0} &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(m_1(\alpha_1 t)) \right|_{t=0} + \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(m_2(\alpha_2 t)) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \alpha_1 (X_1 f)(e) + \alpha_2 (X_2 f)(e), \end{aligned}$$

by using the chain rule, i.e., to  $\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2$ . To establish the second correspondence consider the conjugation  $\tau_h : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $g \mapsto hgh^{-1}$ . One verifies  $\lambda_g \circ \tau_h = \tau_h \circ \lambda_{h^{-1}gh}$ , implying  $(\lambda_g)_*(\tau_h)_* = (\tau_h)_*(\lambda_{h^{-1}gh})_*$ . Hence  $(\tau_h)_* X$  is left-invariant if  $X$  is; moreover  $(\tau_h)_* X \leftrightarrow h x h^{-1}$  because

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} f(h m(t) h^{-1}) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (f \circ \tau_h)(m(t)) \right|_{t=0} = (\tau_h)_* X f(e) \quad (5)$$

by the definition of the tangent map. In particular

$$(\tau_{m_2(s)})_* X_1 \longleftrightarrow m_2(s) x_1 m_2(s)^{-1}$$

and by the already established linearity of the correspondence it extends to the derivative w.r.t.  $s$ . On the l.h.s we have by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{ds} \tau_{m_2(s)}_* X_1 f(e) \right|_{s=0} &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \frac{d}{dt} f(m_2(s) m_1(t) m_2(s)^{-1}) \right|_{s=t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \frac{d}{dt} (f(m_2(s) m_1(t)) + f(m_1(t) m_2(s)^{-1})) \right|_{s=t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} X_1 (f \circ \lambda_{m_2(s)})(e) \right|_{s=0} - \left. \frac{d}{dt} X_2 (f \circ \lambda_{m_1(t)})(e) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= ((X_1 X_2 - X_2 X_1) f)(e), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{ds}\tau_{m(s)*}X_1\Big|_{s=0}=[X_1,X_2]\,.$$

On the r.h.s. we have, see (4)

$$\frac{d}{ds}m_2(s)x_1m_2(s)^{-1}\Big|_{s=0}=[x_1,x_2]\,.$$