## Exercise 3.1 Degenerate Perturbation Theory III: The Stark Effect

We consider a hydrogen atom placed in an external homogeneous electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ . Thus, the Hamiltonian of the hydrogen atom is modified by the term

$$\delta H = e \,\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{1}$$

which describes the coupling of the external field to the electric dipole moment  $e\mathbf{x}$  of the atom. In this exercise we can take the field to be directed along the z-axis.

For simplicity, we assume the field to be strong enough to neglect the fine and hyperfine splitting of the hydrogen levels, but sufficiently weak to treat  $\delta H$  perturbatively.

- a) Try to estimate up to which order of magnitude in the electric field strength, a perturbative treatment of  $\delta H$  makes sense: Compare the external electric field with the field which is responsible for the energy levels of a free hydrogen atom. Is a perturbative treatment of  $\delta H$  reasonable for typical strong electric fields accessible in laboratories, e.g., the breakthrough voltage for a 1 cm thick piece of SiO<sub>2</sub>, one of the best isolators(!), of magnitude  $10^6 \text{ V} 10^8 \text{ V}$ ?
- b) Determine the change in the energy spectrum to first order perturbation theory in the perturbation  $\delta H$  for the hydrogen levels with n = 2.

The wave functions for the n = 2 level of the hydrogen atom are

$$\Psi_{2,0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi a_0^3}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{2a_0}\right) e^{-\frac{r}{2a_0}} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\Psi_{2,1,m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8a_0^3}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{3}a_0} e^{-\frac{r}{2a_0}} Y_{1,m}(\theta,\phi) , \qquad (3)$$

$$Y_{1,0}(\theta,\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}\cos\theta} , \qquad (4)$$

$$Y_{1,\pm 1}(\theta,\phi) = \mp \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} e^{\pm i\phi} \sin\theta .$$
(5)

## Exercise 3.2 Time-Dependent Perturbation of a Two-Level System

We consider a two-level system with energies  $E_1 < E_2$ ,

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1,2} E_i |i\rangle \langle i| .$$
(6)

The two levels are connected by a time-dependent potential V(t) as follows:

$$V_{11} = V_{22} = 0$$
,  $V_{12} = \gamma e^{i\omega t}$ ,  $V_{21} = \gamma e^{-i\omega t}$ , (7)

where  $\gamma$  is a parameter expressed in units of energy. We assume only the lower level to be populated at t = 0,

$$c_1(0) = 1$$
,  $c_2(0) = 0$ , (8)

where  $c_i(t)$  are the coefficients that determine the population of the state, i.e.

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = c_1(t)|1\rangle + c_2(t)|2\rangle .$$
(9)

These coefficients satisfy the coupled differential equation

$$i\hbar \dot{c}_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^2 V_{kn}(t) e^{i\omega_{kn}t} c_n(t) , \quad (k=1,2) ,$$
 (10)

where

$$\omega_{kn} = \frac{(E_k - E_n)}{\hbar} = -\omega_{nk} . \tag{11}$$

a) Show that the relation

$$|c_1(t)|^2 + |c_2(t)|^2 = 1$$
(12)

holds for all times.

- b) Find the probabilities  $|c_1(t)|^2$  and  $|c_2(t)|^2$  for t > 0 by exactly solving the coupled differential equation (10).
- c) Consider the same problem using time-dependent perturbation theory to first order.
- d) Compare the two approaches for small values of  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $E_2 E_1 \gg \gamma$  (*why?*). Treat the following two cases separately:
  - (i)  $\omega$  is very different from  $\omega_{21}$ , i.e.  $\gamma \ll \frac{|\omega \omega_{21}|}{2}\hbar$
  - (ii)  $\omega$  is very close to  $\omega_{21}$ , i.e.  $\gamma \gg \frac{|\omega \omega_{21}|}{2}\hbar$