ETH Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis

Pierre-François Rodriguez

10. Mai 2009

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Contents

What we will see:

- 1. review thermalization mechanism in classical systems
- 2. introduce eigenstate thermalization as a possible explanation for thermal behaviour in quantum systems

3. observe eigenstate thermalization in a particular class of systems

Main Results

More specifically:

- 1. Thermal behaviour in quantum systems *must* fundamentally differ from classical thermalization.
- 2. According to ETH, thermalization occurs at the level of individual eigenstates of a given Hamiltonian:

Each eigenstate of the Hamiltonian implicitly contains a thermal state.

3. ETH correctly assesses thermal behaviour exhibited by low-density billiards in the semi-classical regime, provided Berry's conjecture (BC) holds:

Berry's conjecture \implies eigenstate thermalization

1. CLASSICAL THERMALIZATION

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Isolated Systems

Definition

An **isolated system** consists of N particles with total energy E confined within volume V. Its state is a point in phase space Γ :

$$(p,q) = (p_1,...,p_{3N},q_1,...,q_{3N}) \in \Gamma.$$

Dynamics are specified by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(p, q)$ via

$$\dot{q}_i = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} \qquad \dot{p}_i = -rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i}$$

Notes:

- any system will be assumed isolated, unless specified otherwise
- ► the system is part of a microcanonical ensemble, as suggested by the triple (N, V, E)

Fundamental postulate of stat. physics

Let all states satisfying the macroscopic boundary conditions be on the hypersurface $\Gamma_{N,V,E}$. In equilibrium all have an equal a-priori probability, i.e. they are uniformly distributed with a (stationary!) density:

$$\rho_{mc}(p,q) = \begin{cases} const. & \text{if } (p,q) \in \Gamma_{N,V,E} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

 $\rho_{\it mc}$ is the density function of the microcanonical ensemble.

Definition

We denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the volume of phase space occupied by the microcanonical ensemble:

$$\Gamma(E) = \int_{\Gamma} d^{3N} p d^{3N} q \rho_{mc}(p,q)$$

Dynamical Chaos

- Classical systems are intrinsically deterministic.
- Nonetheless, they may exhibit (deterministic) chaos, i.e. dynamics highly sensitive to initial conditions
 - quantified: e.g. exponential growth of perturbations in the initial conditions with time (Lyapunov exponent)

For chaotic systems it is useful to:

1. address the issue of possible relaxation of certain measurable quantities to stationary values (thermalization)

2. attempt at a **statistical** description of a system in such thermal equilibrium

Ergodic Hypothesis (Boltzmann, 1871)

Definition

Let A(p,q) be an integrable function, γ a trajectory on the hypersurface $\Gamma_{N,V,E}$, with parametrization $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \Gamma_{N,V,E}, \quad t \mapsto (p(t), q(t))$. Let the (long-) time and microcanonical averages of A be defined as:

$$\bar{A} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \ A(p(t), q(t)), \qquad \text{(time average)}$$

$$\langle A \rangle_{mc} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(E)} \int_{\Gamma} d^{3N} p d^{3N} q A(p,q) \rho_{mc}(p,q) \quad (\text{m.c. average})$$

Then the system is ergodic iff

$$\bar{A} = \langle A \rangle_{mc}$$
 (1)

Comments

- ergodicity justifies the use of the m.c. ensemble for calculating equilibrium values
- ► (1) is satisfied if the trajectory γ of the (individual) prepared system covers Γ_{N,V,E}, the constant energy manifold, homogenously
 - time evolution (dynamical chaos) constructs the thermal state

more in the next talk

2. EIGENSTATE THERMALIZATION

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

The Problem

Task:

describe adequately thermal behaviour when exhibited by isolated quantum systems

 \longrightarrow ETH (eigenstate thermalization hypothesis)

- assume the system behaves thermally
- whether or not this assumption applies is a different problem (quantum chaos)

idea: adapt and use ergodicity

Short Digression: Quantum Chaos

The problem: When does thermalization occur?

- search for property analogous to dynamical chaos in classical systems a quantum system must have in order to exhibit thermal behaviour
 - random matrix theory
 - e.g. for quantum billiards: validity of Berry's conjecture

A First Thought

Compared to its classical counterpart, any attempt at explaining the thermalization mechanism in quantum systems **must** be fundamentally different:

 time evolution in quantum systems is *linear* (time-dependent Schrödinger equation)

Furthermore:

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2 \implies$$
 coarse graining, no phase space

no dynamical chaos in the classical sense

 \implies Time evolution cannot construct a thermal state.

The Setting

Quantum System

We shall consider isolated, bounded quantum systems with Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and prepared in an initial state:

$$|\psi(t=0)\rangle \equiv |\psi(0)\rangle.$$

- boundedness implies a discrete energy spectrum
- Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle = E_{\alpha}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle$, where $\{|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\}_{\alpha}$ is a complete orthonormal system. Then:

$$|\psi(\mathbf{0})
angle = \sum_{lpha} \mathcal{C}_{lpha} |\psi_{lpha}
angle, \quad \mathcal{C}_{lpha} = \langle \psi_{lpha} |\psi(\mathbf{0})
angle, \quad \sum_{lpha} |\mathcal{C}_{lpha}|^2 = 1$$

Note: we call $|C_{\alpha}|^2$ the **eigenstate occupation numbers** (EON's).

Energy

Corollary

For the total energy of the system we obtain:

$$\langle E
angle = \langle \psi(\mathbf{0}) | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \psi(\mathbf{0})
angle = \sum_{lpha} |C_{lpha}|^2 E_{lpha}$$

Generic Initial State

We will restrict our attention to initial states $|\psi(0)\rangle$ sufficiently narrow in energy, i.e. the distribution of the $|C_{\alpha}|^2$ is narrow. More precisely:

$$\Delta E = \left(\sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 E_{\alpha}^2 - \langle E \rangle^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 \left(E_{\alpha} - \langle E \rangle\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \ll \langle E \rangle$$

For our purposes such an initial state shall be called generic.

Time Evolution

1. The temporal evolution of the state vector is given by:

$$|\psi(t)
angle = \sum_lpha \mathcal{C}_lpha e^{-rac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{E}_lpha t} |\psi_lpha
angle$$

- want to understand: how is thermal behaviour encoded in this equation
- 2. The time dependence of the expectation value of any obeservable *A* is given by:

$$\langle A(t)\rangle = \langle \psi(t)|A|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} C_{\alpha}^* C_{\beta} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_{\alpha}-E_{\beta})t} A_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (2)$$

where we define $\langle \psi_{\alpha} | A | \psi_{\beta} \rangle \equiv A_{\alpha\beta}$. Call $A_{\alpha\alpha}$ eigenstate expectation value (EEV).

Thermalization

To check whether a system is in thermal equilibrium, we must measure some observable(s) A belonging to the set S of *allowed* observables.

- 1. no general results for ${\cal S}$
- 2. concrete restrictions arise when one considers *specific classes* of systems (e.g. classically chaotic systems)

Definition

We say the quantum system exhibits thermal behaviour if for $A \in S$, $\langle A(t) \rangle$ relaxes towards the thermal value prescribed by quantum statistical physics after some characteristic relaxation time.

Note: neglect fluctuations of A

Infinite-time average of an observable

The following average is mathematically tractable (use classical intuition):

Definition

The infinite-time average \bar{A} is

$$\bar{A} \equiv \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \langle A(t) \rangle dt = \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 A_{\alpha \alpha}$$
(3)

 \rightarrow Given A shows thermal behaviour, we assume the relaxation must be to this value.

(infinite time washes out nonthermal behaviour, contained within non-diagonal ($\alpha \neq \beta$) elements in the sum of eqn. (2))

"Quantum Ergodicity"

Let the system be truly isolated. The quantum statistical average is (microcanonical ensemble):

$$\langle A \rangle_{mc} (\langle E \rangle) = \frac{1}{N_{\langle E \rangle, \Delta E}} \sum_{\alpha: E_{\alpha} \in I} A_{\alpha \alpha}$$

where $I \equiv [\langle E \rangle - \Delta E, \langle E \rangle + \Delta E]$ is an energy window and $N_{\langle E \rangle, \Delta E}$ is the number of eigenstates contributing to the microcanonical average.

If A behaves thermally, it should also settle to the prediction of the microcanonical ensemble:

$$ar{A} = \langle A
angle_{mc}(E) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 A_{\alpha \alpha} = rac{1}{N_{\langle E
angle, \Delta E}} \sum_{\alpha: E_{\alpha} \in I} A_{\alpha \alpha}$$

Deutsch: "ergodic quantum system". This equation is problematic.

Thermodynamical Universality

$$\sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 A_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1}{N_{\langle E \rangle, \Delta E}} \sum_{\alpha: E_{\alpha} \in I} A_{\alpha\alpha}$$
(4)

Concern: explain thermodynamical universality in this equation:

- ▶ I.h.s. of (4) depends on the initial conditions via C_α = ⟨ψ_α|ψ(0)⟩
- r.h.s. of (4) depends only on (E) = ∑_α |C_α|²E_α, which is the same for many sets {C_α}_α
- A possible explanation: ETH
 - ▶ restriction on A necessary: take e.g. $A = P_{\beta} = |\psi_{\beta}\rangle\langle\psi_{\beta}|$

Eigenstate Thermalization

- 1. intuition: $A_{\alpha\alpha} = \text{const.} \ \forall \alpha$
- 2. idea: EEV's $A_{\alpha\alpha}$ almost don't vary between eigenstates which are close in energy (within *I*, c.f. generic initial state)

ETH (Deutsch '91, Srednicki '94)

Thermalization in isolated, bounded quantum systems happens at the level of **individual eigenstates** of the Hamiltonian:

$$A_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle A \rangle_{mc}(E_{\alpha}) \quad \forall \alpha \tag{5}$$

In other words, each eigenstate of the Hamiltonian implicitly contains a thermal state.

The (auxiliary) role of time

no time variable t in eqn. (5)

- Role of time evolution:
 - ► initial state = superposition of eigenstates with "carefully" chosen phases C_{α}
 - revelation of the thermal state due to the dephasing effect of Hamiltonian time evolution in eqn. (2)
 - coherence between $A_{\alpha\beta}$ destroyed, \bar{A} reached
- time evolution doesn't construct the thermal state, it only reveals it. The thermal state exists at t=0, but the coherence hides it (picture).

Second Approach

Lemma

 \overline{A} will depend on $\langle E \rangle$ and not on the details of the C_{α} if $A_{\alpha\alpha}$ is a smooth function of E_{α} with negligible variation over *I*:

$$A_{\alpha\alpha} = \Phi(E_{\alpha}). \tag{6}$$

Proof

Taylor 1st order: $\bar{A} = \Phi(\langle E \rangle)[1 + O(\Delta E / \langle E \rangle)]$. Assume generic state.

Notes:

- 1. argument E_{α} discrete, but close (quasi-continuous) within I
- 2. Φ approximately constant over *I* (up to small error)
- 3. (6) \leftrightarrow restriction for the allowed observables

Canonical Thermal Average

idea c.f. picture

The (canonical) thermal average $\langle A \rangle_T$ is:

$$\langle A \rangle_T = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \sum_{\alpha} e^{-E_{\alpha}/k_B T} A_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \int_0^{\infty} dE \ n(E) e^{-E/k_B T} \Phi(E)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

with:

►
$$Z(T) = \sum_{\alpha} e^{-E_{\alpha}/k_B T}$$
: partition function
► $n(E) = \sum_{\alpha} \delta(E - E_{\alpha})$: density of states

Eigenstate Thermalization

1. Approximating n(E), one can show:

$$\langle A \rangle_T = \Phi(U)[1 + O(N^{-1/2})] \tag{7}$$

with $U(T) = T^2 Z'(T)/Z(T)$ the internal energy.

2. Ergodicity is satisfied if:

$$ar{A} = \langle A
angle_{\mathcal{T}} \iff N \gg 1 ext{ and } U(\mathcal{T}) = \langle E
angle.$$

3. Eigenstate thermalization: we have $A_{\alpha\alpha} = \Phi(E_{\alpha})$

(7)
$$\implies A_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle A \rangle_{T_{\alpha}}, \text{ where } U(T_{\alpha}) = E_{\alpha}.$$

Eigenstate Thermalization: Validity

Results for a few restricted *classes* of systems:

- ETH holds for integrable *Ĥ* with weak perturbation (random Gaussian matrix) → quantum chaos
 (J.M. Deutsch)
- For quantum systems with chaotic classical counterparts, ETH is valid sometimes, in particular if Berry's conjecture holds (M. Srednicki)

Consider now an example of 2.

3. ETH: A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

The ∞ -hard-sphere gas

representative example of a system which exhibits chaos classically in all its available phase space at any energy E

ergodic, mixing (Sinai, 1963)

Definition

The system consists of N identical particles in a box L^3 , with mass m and radius a each. The Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i < j} V(|x_i - x_j|), \quad i, j = 1, ...N$$

where x_i is the position of the i-th particle and the potential is given by:

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } r < 2a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

 all following results are formulated specifically for this system (in particular BC)

Notation

Any wavefuntion
$$\psi(\vec{X})$$
, $\vec{X} = (x_1, ..., x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$.

1. is defined on the domain D in coordinate space, where:

$$D = \{x_1, ..., x_N | -\frac{1}{2}L \le x_{i1,2,3} \le \frac{1}{2}L ; |x_i - x_j| \ge 2a\}$$

2. satisfies $\psi = 0$ on ∂D (∞ - potential).

Energy eigenfunctions:

1. are denoted by $\psi_{lpha}(ec{X})$, where:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}\psi_{lpha}(ec{X})=\mathsf{\textit{E}}_{lpha}\psi_{lpha}(ec{X})$$

2. in momentum space (semi-classical model):

$$ilde{\psi}_{lpha}(ec{P}) \equiv h^{-3N/2} \int_{D} d^{3N} X \; \psi_{lpha}(ec{X}) e^{rac{i}{\hbar}ec{P}\cdotec{X}}$$

The line of thought is the following:

- 1. Berry's conjecture (BC)
- 2. Assume as initial state an energy eigenstate which satisfies BC
- 3. show that under this assumption the thermal behaviour of a particular observable is correctly explained by the ETH

Or more concisely:

$$\mathsf{BC} \Rightarrow \mathsf{ET}$$

Interlude: Thought Experiment

In our case $A = \hat{p}_1$, the momentum of a selected particle.

Thought Experiment

- 1. Prepare the system in an initial state $|\psi_i\rangle$
- 2. After some time t, measure \hat{p}_1
- 3. Repeat (same $|\psi_i\rangle$, same *t*, same particle)

Because of the inherent uncertainties in QM, we can hope to obtain a distribution for \hat{p}_1 .

Berry's Conjecture

Berry's conjecture (Berry, 1977)

Let $\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{X})$ be an energy eigenfunction of the system at sufficiently high energy. Then $\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{X})$ can be written as:

$$\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{X}) = \mathcal{N}_{\alpha} \int d^{3N} P \ A_{\alpha}(\vec{P}) \delta(\vec{P}^2 - 2mE_{\alpha}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{P}\cdot\vec{X}}$$
(8)

i.e. ψ_{α} is a superposition of plane waves with fixed wavelength (energy).

The amplitudes $A_{\alpha}(\vec{P})$ behave like Gaussian random variables with a two-point correlation function given by

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\alpha}^{*}(\vec{P})\tilde{\psi}_{\beta}(\vec{P'})\rangle_{EE} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{2}\delta(\vec{P}^{2} - 2mE_{\alpha})\delta^{3N}(\vec{P} - \vec{P'}) \qquad (9)$$

Berry's conjecture II

Notes:

EE = Eigenstate Ensemble: fictitious ensemble which contains all functions that have the properties of a "typical" eigenfunction. Individual eigenfunctions behave as if they were selected at random from that ensemble.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

•
$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$$
 from $\int_{D} d^{3N} X \psi_{\alpha}^{2}(\vec{X}) = 1$

Validity of Berry's conjecture

- uncertain, believed to hold in semiclassical classically chaotic systems (in most of their phase space)
- for our system, condition of sufficiently high energy
- rough criterion (Donald, Kaufman 1988): "thermal wavelength of each particle smaller than relevant length feature" (which produces classical chaos):

thermal wavelength:
$$\lambda_lpha \equiv O(1) rac{h}{\sqrt{2mk_B T_lpha}}$$

In our case this criterion would be $\lambda_{\alpha} \leq a$. Indeed:

$$\lambda_{\alpha} \leq a \Longleftrightarrow E_{\alpha} = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T_{\alpha} \geq \dots$$

(high energy requirement)

ETH and the hard-sphere gas

Theorem

Let $|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ be an energy eigenstate of the system which satisfies BC. In the limit of low density $Na^3 \ll L^3$, $|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ "predicts" a thermal distribution

$$f_{MB}(ec{p_1},\,T_lpha)$$
 , where $T_lpha\equivrac{2E_lpha}{3Nk_B}$

for the momentum $\vec{p_1}$ of a single constituent particle in the limit $N \to \infty$.

This is precisely the eigenstate thermalization scenario: every eigenstate implicitly contains a thermal state.

Proof - An Outline I

Work in momentum space: $\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{P})$: energy eigenfunctions (FT:~) initial state:

$$\begin{split} & ilde{\psi}(\vec{P},t=0)\equiv\sum_{lpha}\mathcal{C}_{lpha}\tilde{\psi}_{lpha}(\vec{P}) \ &\Longrightarrow \tilde{\psi}(\vec{P},t)=\sum_{lpha}\mathcal{C}_{lpha}e^{-rac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{E}_{lpha}t}\tilde{\psi}_{lpha}(\vec{P}) \end{split}$$
 (10)

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• joint probability density of all N particles: $|\tilde{\psi}(\vec{P},t)|^2$

Proof - An Outline II

The probability of finding atom 1 with momentum in range d^3p around \vec{p}_1 is (marginal density):

$$f(ec{p}_1,t) = \int d^3p_2 \dots d^3p_N | ilde{\psi}(ec{P},t)|^2$$

Now consider an energy eigenstate as initial state: $\exists \alpha : C_{\alpha} = 1, C_{\beta} = 0 \forall \beta \neq \alpha. \text{ Then:}$

$$f(ec{p}_1,t) = \int d^3 p_2 \dots d^3 p_N | ilde{\psi}_{lpha}(ec{P})|^2 \equiv \phi_{lpha lpha}(ec{p}_1)$$

which does not depend on time.

Proof - An Outline III

The trick (to be justified) is to average this time independent density over our fictitious EE, thereby using BC:

$$\langle \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\vec{p}_1) \rangle_{EE} = \underbrace{\cdots}_{\text{BC, low density}} = \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^2 L^{3N} \int d^3 p_2 \dots d^3 p_N \ \delta(\vec{P}^2 - 2mE_{\alpha})$$

At last:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \langle \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\vec{p}_1) \rangle_{EE} = f_{MB}(\vec{p}_1, T_\alpha)$$

thereby defining T_{α} via:

$$E_{\alpha} = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T_{\alpha}$$

Comments

Note that:

1. n.t.s. small fluctuations (use *Gaussian* property):

 $\langle |\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\vec{p}_1)|^2
angle_{\textit{EE}} - |\langle \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\vec{p}_1)
angle_{\textit{EE}}|^2 \ll \langle \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\vec{p}_1)
angle_{\textit{EE}}$

justify that EE is a good choice

- 2. Eqn. (6): $p_{1_{\alpha\alpha}} = \langle p_1 \rangle_{MB}(E_{\alpha})$ is a statement about the expectation value for the observable p_1
 - have shown corresponding densities are equal
- 3. symmetry assumptions for $\psi \rightsquigarrow$ FD-, BE- distributions